NASA Research to enable National Campaigns

Help catalyze UML 1, 2...
- NC-DT: Developmental Testing
- NC-1: Operational Safety

Reduce risk of industry UML-3&4 timeline...
- Complex Operations: NC-2
- High Volume Vertiports: NC-3
- Scaled Demo: NC-4

Legend:
- Automation Research and Capability Development
- Integration of Automated Systems Flight Testing
- GC Series and Operational Demonstrations

UML "unlocks" based on a range of publicly available industry projections; not a consensus view.

https://www.nasa.gov/aamnationalcampaign
Scenario 6 – Air-to-Air Conflict Management

Demonstrate individualized components of traffic conflict management in order to evaluate interplay between essential layers of separation assurance and collision avoidance.

IGC Aircraft Functional Objectives

- Intra-Urban Tactical Conflict Management – Demonstrate in-flight separation assurance, collision avoidance, and appropriate airspace management information exchange (i.e., flight plan amendments) including:
  - Various geometry setups, test altitudes, aircraft sizes (general aviation, SUAS, Urban Passenger transport), cooperative and non-cooperative and speed of airborne intruders
  - Various environment backgrounds (sun, clouds, terrain clutter, etc.)
- Legacy Aircraft Tactical Conflict Management – Demonstrate interoperability with legacy aircraft (e.g. commercial, general aviation, etc.), specifically when operating in terminal areas, including coordination with legacy ATC, TCAS/ACAS interoperability, etc.

IGC Airspace Functional Objectives

- Intra-Urban Tactical Conflict Management – Demonstrate in-flight separation assurance services, ability of airspace management system to support/provide traffic conflict management, provide airspace advisories, and detect secondary conflicts
- Legacy Aircraft Tactical Conflict Management – Demonstrate interoperability of UAM aircraft with legacy aircraft (e.g. commercial, general aviation, etc), specifically when operating in terminal areas, including coordination with legacy ATC, TCAS/ACAS interoperability, etc.
- Scheduling - Demonstrate ability of UAM AOM system scheduling to respond to traffic conflict resolutions including negotiating route updates and STA’s for all impacted aircraft
Scenario 7 – Constrained Conflict Management
Conflict management that considers simultaneous issues across the aircraft and AOM that must be solved together while considering spatial constraints (e.g., no-fly zones), temporal constraints (e.g., sequencing and scheduling), service boundaries (e.g., CNS service areas), and aircraft state of health (e.g., when aircraft is in a degraded mode). Builds upon Scenario 6, increasing complexity of operations.

IGC Aircraft Functional Objectives
- Obstacle and Aircraft Avoidance – Demonstrate ability to detect and avoid ground and air obstacles, including non-cooperative intruder aircraft intersecting intended flight path.
- Cooperation with other UAM aircraft – demonstrate ability of UAM aircraft to perform tactical collision avoidance maneuvers without triggering follow-on collision avoidance maneuvers, including when the aircraft is in a degraded state.
- Cooperation with AOM service supplier – demonstrate ability to perform tactical collision avoidance without creating cascading effects to the AOM system, including when the aircraft is in a degraded state.

IGC Airspace Functional Objectives
- Obstacle and Aircraft Avoidance – AOM system responds appropriately to avoid cascading failures when a aircraft depart from planned trajectories due to an obstacle/aircraft avoidance maneuver.
- Cooperation with other AOM service suppliers – AOM can interoperate with other AOM service suppliers, not sending instructions to aircraft that will disrupt other AOM service supplier’s traffic management.
- Cooperation with UAM aircraft – demonstrate ability to send directions to aircraft that do not create cascading impacts of tactical maneuvers from aircraft to avoid collisions, including when aircraft are in degraded states.
Key Areas of Automation Development to Support the NC Series

1. **Aviate**
2. **Navigate**
3. **Communicate**

**PILOT**

1. **Locate**
2. **Separate**
3. **Allocate**

**CONTROLLER**

1. **Populate**
2. **Evaluate**
3. **Mitigate**

**TERPSTER**

NASA/FAA will work with industry to identify the agreed/expected tasks that are envisioned to be automated for each UML step, or Scenario. The technical maturity levels for aircraft, sensors, and UTM are key, but the current regulatory restrictions and airspace infrastructure are also key to consider when deciding what UML levels are possible/conceivable.
Functional Decomposition of Piloting

**Aviate**
- A/C Control
  - Stability
  - Structural limits
  - Flightpath control
  - Stall
  - Etc.
- Observe Airmanship Rules
  - Well clear
  - Minimum flight levels
  - Airspace boundaries
  - Etc.
- Contingency Management
  - Tactical
    - Air Traffic
    - Ground
    - Obstacles
    - Birds
    - Etc.
  - Onboard failures
  - Weather

**Navigate**
- Plan Mission
  - Interpret mission objectives
  - Path finding AI
- Follow Mission Plan
  - Pilot commands
  - Waypoint following
  - Intelligent routing
  - Etc.
- Coordinate Mission with other Agents
  - Formation flying
  - Interactive re-routing
  - Surveillance/tracking
  - Etc.

**Communicate**
- Aircraft Controlling Entity
  - Pilot in control
  - Company operations center
  - Etc.
- Agents in Near Vicinity
  - Nearby aircraft
  - Launch & recovery operator
  - Others?
- Airspace Control Authority
  - ATM
  - UTM
  - Etc.

Action in one category can initiate other functional processes
*Are there new roles or relevant roles that are not covered here?

UAM operators
Registered UAM service providers

Communication system providers
Provides real-time tracking and enables UAV-to-UAV or manned aerial vehicle interaction

Provider of Services for UAM (PSU)
Key stakeholders who handle the core functionalities in the UAM spectrum

Air navigation service provider (ANSP)
Regulatory authority for UAM that sets the performance criteria for the UAV operations
- Creates regulatory framework
- Sets and manages airspace constraints and access

Data service providers
Feeds data and information into PSU which helps in proper flight planning
- Weather information
- Geofencing
- Surveillance
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Port Ops</td>
<td>Advisory for a non movement like area</td>
<td>Advisory</td>
<td>Prime</td>
<td>Primary – preferred UAM routes</td>
<td>Co-prime (NOTAM, ATIS)</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANSP</td>
<td>Prime</td>
<td>Prime</td>
<td>Co-prime</td>
<td>Prime</td>
<td>Prime (in controlled)</td>
<td>Prime (in controlled)</td>
<td>Prime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Co-prime</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Co-Primary (as delegated by ANSP)*</td>
<td>Prime</td>
<td>Prime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet Ops</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prime</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Co-prime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSU/UM Ecosystem</td>
<td>2nd – enable authorization</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd (discovery, intent)</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Users</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Third party interest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UML 1 (x):** VMC, Piloted, Controlled/Uncontrolled, ports are located in uncontrolled or non movement areas if on airport surface. Break up by phase of flight
All Break Out Groups – Use the Same Exercise To Evaluate the NC Series
The EISENHOWER Matrix
How to Make Decisions on What’s URGENT & IMPORTANT

1. **DO FIRST**
   - Due, Overdue, Due soon
   - Adds value to your life
   - Time-sensitive tasks that must be taken care of now.
   - Long term goals
   - Learning new skills

2. **SCHEDULE**
   - Flagged, no due date
   - Adds value to your life
   - Not time-sensitive
   - Crisis
   - Deadline
   - Emergencies
   - Schedule the tasks to ensure that progress is being made.

3. **DELEGATE**
   - Adds no value to your life.
   - Delegate time-sensitive tasks to others if possible.
   - Social media
   - Delegate these tasks to someone so that you can focus on important tasks instead.

4. **DON’T DO IT**
   - Adds no value to your life
   - Best candidates to delete
   - At best, do these during your leisure time
   - Some phone calls or e-mails
   - Errands
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>Urgency</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Important</td>
<td>Urgent</td>
<td>Do first 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Important</td>
<td>Not urgent</td>
<td>Schedule 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not important</td>
<td>Urgent</td>
<td>Deligate 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not important</td>
<td>Not urgent</td>
<td>Don't do it 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The diagram illustrates the importance and urgency matrix, often referred to as the Eisenhower Matrix. It categorizes tasks into four quadrants:

1. Important and urgent: Schedule 2
2. Important but not urgent: Deligate 3
3. Not important but urgent: Don’t do it 4
4. Not important and not urgent: Do first 1

This matrix helps in prioritizing tasks based on their importance and urgency.
Evolutionary Steps to enable a Revolutionary Market

White Board: Use these bold titles as categories participants will fill in an Eisenhower Matrix with their thoughts on priority, we have a few examples below

Vehicle
- Define Stability, Control and Performance standards
- IFR approaches to zero altitude/zero airspeed above the Touchdown Point (TDP)
- Ability to safely fly ? glide path angle
- Controllability standards for confined space operations in Urban environments
- Reserves

Airmen
- Define Pilot/Vehicle interface standards for highly complex, highly augmented, vehicles
- Refinement of Powered-Lift licensing requirements
- Implementation of Simplified Vehicle Operations (SVO)

Airspace
- Roles and responsibilities of airspace services and ATC integration at existing airports and urban environments
- Identify airspace service providers flight critical software

Infrastructure
- Refine Heliport and Vertiport design criteria to reflect UAM needs
- Improve Urban “Micro-”weather forecasting, now-casting, and broadcasting
- Define standardized approach procedures for UAM

Community
- Integrate smart cities
- Understand acoustic and visual noise
POLL #1
## UAM Maturity Levels (UML)

### UAM Framework and Barriers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UML Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Key Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UML-1</strong></td>
<td>Late-Stage Certification Testing and Operational Demonstrations in Limited Environments</td>
<td>Aircraft certification testing and operational evaluations with conforming prototypes; procedural and technology innovations supporting future airspace operations (e.g., UTM-inspired); community/market demonstrations and data collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UML-2</strong></td>
<td>Low Density and Complexity Commercial Operations with Assistive Automation</td>
<td>Type certified aircraft; initial Part 135 operation approvals; limited markets with favorable weather and regulation; small UAM network serving urban periphery; UTM Construct and UAM corridors supporting self-managed operations through controlled airspace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UML-3</strong></td>
<td>Low Density, Medium Complexity Operations with Comprehensive Safety Assurance Automation</td>
<td>Operations into urban core; operational validation of airspace, UTM inspired ATM, CNS, C2, and automation for scalable, weather-tolerant operations; closely spaced UAM pads, ports; noise compatible with urban soundscape; model-local regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UML-4</strong></td>
<td>Medium Density and Complexity Operations with Collaborative and Responsible Automated Systems</td>
<td>100s of simultaneous operations; expanded networks including high-capacity UAM ports; many UTM inspired ATM services available, simplified vehicle operations for credit; low-visibility operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UML-5</strong></td>
<td>High Density and Complexity Operations with Highly-Integrated Automated Networks</td>
<td>1,000s of simultaneous operations; large-scale, highly-distributed networks; high-density UTM inspired ATM; autonomous aircraft and remote, M:N fleet management; high-weather tolerance including icing; high-volume manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UML-6</strong></td>
<td>Ubiquitous UAM Operations with System-Wide Automated Optimization</td>
<td>10,000s of simultaneous operations (capacity limited by physical infrastructure); ad hoc landing sites; noise compatible with suburban/rural operations; private ownership &amp; operation models enabled; societal expectation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UNLOCKING UML-4 HELPS ENABLE ‡ OTHER UAM MISSIONS

‡Enable refers to critical technologies that can be engineered to extend to other missions.

**UML-1**
No new commercial urban missions enabled.

**UML-2**
Cargo delivery to/from warehouses & distribution centers in non-urban areas. Increased utility & safety of General Aviation.

**UML-3**

**UML-4**
Wide-scale on-demand, regional air transportation network.

**“Rural” Missions**

**Urban Missions**

**UML-1**
No new commercial rural missions enabled.

**UML-2**
Initial eVTOL fleet operations from urban vertiports. (e.g., airport transfer, cargo delivery, initial urban air metro); Public service missions (e.g., air ambulance, disaster relief).

**UML-3**

**UML-4**
Increasing network of eVTOL operations to smaller vertiports in IMC. Increase in previous missions. (e.g., early on-demand urban air taxi network, wide-scale, distributed small package delivery)

**Poll #1**
Define Stability, Control and Performance standards that guarantee ability to safely fly? degree IFR approaches to zero altitude/zero airspeed above the Touchdown Point (TDP)

**Poll #2**
Determine appropriate Controllability standards that allow for confined space operations in Urban environments

**Poll #3**
Initial, commercial UAM flights using eVTOL, eSTOL, and eCTOL aircraft. (e.g., ex-urban airport transfers, medical transport, , cross-metro transfers)
Breakout #2 and #3
NC-DT Operational Safety & NC-1 Complex Operations
Building Blocks for the urban environment

Micro-Plex to one airport based on vehicle category
NC-2 Complex Operations

- Resilient air, ground, cloud CNSI
- UAM procedural leg library (TBO, 4D-TBO, Airborne M&S)
- Automated, arrival, approach and departure procedures
- Fail-operational, simplified vehicle controls and management
- DAA – airborne and surface hazards
- Adaptive trajectory planning and full-envelope auto-flight
- Automated contingency planning and execution
NC-3 High Volume Vertiports

- High-density vertiport and pad operations with combination of real and simulated aircraft
- Recovery from localized disruptions (e.g. rejected TO, late arrival)
- Vehicle contingency / emergency arrival and landing
- Wind shift / vertiport configuration change
- Etc....
Terminal Base Operations Contingencies (Scenario 3)

Scenario 3: UAM Ports and Approaches

- High-density vertiport and pad operations with combination of real and simulated aircraft
- Recovery from localized disruptions (e.g. rejected TO, late arrival)
- Vehicle contingency / emergency arrival and landing
- Wind shift / vertiport configuration change
POLL #2
What Aircraft/Airspace Use Case does your company plan to support? You can select multiple choices.

- Is the Aircraft Piloted or Remote Pilot?
- Do you prefer to land at a Heliport or Vertiport?
- What existing infrastructure will be used by 2023-2024 for operational flights?
- What airspace will be used for operational flights?

Aircraft Pilot/Type of Landing/Existing Infrastructure/Airspace

1) Aircraft/Landing @ Class G Airport/Class G to class B
   1a) Piloted Scenarios 1-4
   1b) Remote Scenarios 1-7
   1c) Heliport
   1d) Vertiport

2) Aircraft/Landing @ Class G Airport/Class G to Class C
   2a) Piloted Scenarios 1-4
   2b) Remote Scenarios 1-7
   2c) Heliport
   2d) Vertiport
3) Aircraft/Landing @ Class G Airport/Class G to Class D
   3a) Piloted Scenarios 1-4
   3b) Remote Piloted Scenarios 1-7
   3c) Heliport
   3d) Vertiport

4) Aircraft/Landing @ Class D Airport/Class D to Class B
   4a) Piloted Scenarios 1-4
   4b) Remote Piloted Scenarios 1-7
   4c) Heliport
   4d) Vertiport

5) Aircraft/Landing @ Class D Airport/Class D to Class C
   5a) Piloted Scenarios 1-4
   5b) Remote Piloted Scenarios 1-7
   5c) Heliport
   5d) Vertiport

6) Aircraft/Landing @ Class D Airport/Class C to Class D
   6a) Piloted Scenarios 1-4
   6b) Remote Piloted Scenarios 1-7
   6c) Heliport
   6d) Vertiport

7) Aircraft/Landing @ Class C Airport/Class C to Class B
   7a) Piloted Scenarios 1-4
   7b) Remote Piloted Scenarios 1-7
   7c) Heliport
   7d) Vertiport

8) Aircraft/Landing @ Class C Airport/Class C to Class C
   8a) Piloted Scenarios 1-4
   8b) Remote Piloted Scenarios 1-7
   8c) Heliport
   8d) Vertiport

9) Aircraft/Landing @ Class C Airport/Class D to Class D
   9a) Piloted Scenarios 1-4
   9b) Remote Piloted Scenarios 1-7
   9c) Heliport
   9d) Vertiport

10) Aircraft/Landing @ Class B,C,D,G Airport to Class E (Rooftop)
   7a) Piloted Scenarios 1-4
    7b) Remote Piloted Scenarios 1-7
    7c) Heliport
    7d) Vertiport

11) Other
For purposes of the Initial AAM National Campaign, we have defined two (2) Terminal Areas, and two (2) Approaches that will be focus areas for initial flight demonstrations.

**AAM NC Terminal Areas/approaches:**

**AAM NC has defined a “UAM Heliport”** – A symmetric Heliport whose dimensions and surface volumes are prescribed by standards contained in Advisory Circular no.150/5390-2C “Heliport Design.”

AAM NC approaches to this terminal area are flown with the vehicle making a constantly decelerating approach on a fixed glidepath to a zero groundspeed point in space, in ground effect, directly above the touchdown point (TDP).

This approach builds on the assumption that UAM vehicles will demonstrate a redundancy of design, and vehicle characteristics, that enable safe accomplishment of precision UAM instrument approaches.

**AAM NC is also defining a “UAM Vertiport” for initial flight demonstrations** – Distinguished from the UAM Heliport by utilizing an extended TLOF and FATO.

Although the principles of the dimensions of the landing surface are governed by AC 150/5390-2C “Heliport Design,” the AAM National Campaign is initially utilizing slightly different surface volumes that reflect past (cancelled) FAA Vertiport Design guidance material.

AAM NC approaches to this terminal area are flown with the vehicle respecting a manufacturer chosen Landing Decision Point (LDP), and/or a Minimum Speed, IMC \( V_{\text{MIN-I}} \) driven by failure condition/vehicle characteristics that will result in a forward speed as the vehicle crosses the threshold of the extended TLOF/FATO.

The vehicle will decelerate, in ground effect, above the landing surface to the TDP.

The assumption is published performance of a future certified UAM vehicle will prescribe the minimum length of the TLOF respecting weight, altitude, temperature and any other pertinent variables.

Prior to flight demonstrations of these approaches, specific Stability, Control, and Performance parameters will need to be understood in order to inform UAM vehicle standards development.
Advisory Circular no.150/5390-2C “Heliport Design

Ref. AC 150 5390-3 (cancelled)
Backup
Terminal Base Operations Contingencies (Scenario 3)

Scenario 3:
UAM Ports and Approaches

- High-density vertiport and pad operations with combination of real and simulated aircraft
- Recovery from localized disruptions (e.g. rejected TO, late arrival)
- Vehicle contingency / emergency arrival and landing
- Wind shift / vertiport configuration change
Verify participant integration compatibility with NC airspace environment
- Assessment of system connectivity, distributed latencies
- Evaluation of the airspace procedures and information exchanges to/from all stakeholders
- Assessment of format and content ingestion of airspace constraints, air traffic, and system negotiation of airspace rules and procedures

Early check against airspace services required for NC-1 scenarios
- NASA evaluation of scenario virtual components, virtual traffic density, and flight feasibility
- Demonstration of vehicle/airspace system integration in virtual and hardware in the loop environments
- Demonstration of extended UTM airspace capabilities in support of advanced NC-1 scenario requirements;
- Enable participants to prepare/develop required technologies for NC-1
TRANSITION
Enter IAF ring. Loiter before landing.

Ground Control:
Loiter...
Approach Profile – GC UAM Heliport

Altitude AGL (ft)

- 700
- 600
- 500
- 400
- 300
- 200
- 100
- 0

Horizontal Distance (ft)

- 1000
- 500
- 0
- 500
- 1000
- 1500
- 2000
- 2500
- 3000
- 3500

Baro Glidepath distance: 3156 ft

-2.4 FPS²
~0.075g decel
51 secs to TDP (zero wind)

HCH 10ft

GPA 9°

FAF

3500

V_{threshold} = \sim 0

TLOF ELEV
~3000

TDP
**Approach Profile – GC UAM Vertiport**

- **Altitude AGL (ft)**
  - 700
  - 600
  - 500
  - 400
  - 300
  - 200
  - 100
  - 0

- **Horizontal Distance (ft)**
  - 4000
  - 3500
  - 3000
  - 2500
  - 2000
  - 1500
  - 1000
  - 500
  - 0

- **TLOF ELEV ~3000**

- **GPA 9°**

- **HCH 10ft**

- **V\_threshold = ~40 KIAS**

- **Baro Glidepath distance: 3156 ft**

- **-1.6 FPS\(^2\) Glidepath**

- **-3.0 FPS\(^2\) TLOF**

- **~0.05g - 0.10 decel**

- **56 secs to TDP (zero wind)**
MICROPLEX DEFINED

Airspace

Providing the appropriate constructs to enable:

• Airspace authorizations
• Routes and procedures
• Flight planning
• Traffic sequencing
• Data constructs for weather, NOTAM, TFR and other associated ANSP information

Throughput

Enable demand capacity balancing for increasing volumes of new entrants across various equipages. Through high precision performance bandwidth can be increased by educating lateral separation requirements, reduced vertical separation requirements (ROC), and overall efficiency gains.

Contingency Management

The safety cases needed to enable a microplex hinge on contingency management operations and procedures that account for rerouting, lost Communication, Go-around / Missed Approaches, all land scenarios, and priority sequencing for landing.